

(AJWx),CLOSED,DISCOVERY,FOIA,MANADR,STAYED

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT for the CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA (Western Division – Los Angeles)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:16-cv-06069-SJO-AJW**

Chance E Gordon v. Consumer Financial Protection Bureau et al Date Filed: 08/12/2016
Assigned to: Judge S. James Otero Date Terminated: 03/15/2017
Referred to: Magistrate Judge Andrew J. Wistrich Jury Demand: Plaintiff
Cause: 05:552 Freedom of Information Act Nature of Suit: 895 Freedom of Information Act
Jurisdiction: U.S. Government Defendant

Plaintiff

Chance E Gordon
an individual

represented by **Chance E Gordon**
515 East Grant Road Suite 141-227
Tucson, AZ 85705
702-816-0213
Email: secondking71@gmail.com
PRO SE

V.

Defendant

Consumer Financial Protection Bureau
a federal agency

represented by **Tamra Tyree Moore**
US Department of Justice
Civil Division – Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20530
202-514-8095
Fax: 202-616-8460
Email: tamra.moore@usdoj.gov
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

The State Bar of California
a public corporation

represented by **Newton N Knowles**
The State Bar of California
180 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-538-2309
Fax: 415-538-2321
Email: newton.knowles@calbar.ca.gov
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

The State Bar Court of California
an entity of unknown form

represented by **Newton N Knowles**
(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Does
1-10

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
08/12/2016	<u>1</u>	COMPLAINT against Defendants Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, Does, The State Bar of California, The State of Bar Court of California. Case assigned to Judge S. James Otero for all further proceedings. Discovery referred to Magistrate Judge Andrew J. Wistrich. Jury Demanded., filed by Plaintiff Chance E Gordon.

		(Attachments: # <u>1</u> Summons) (et) Modified on 10/5/2016 (lc). (Entered: 08/15/2016)
08/12/2016	<u>2</u>	CERTIFICATION AND NOTICE of Interested Parties filed by Plaintiff Chance E Gordon. (et) (Entered: 08/15/2016)
08/12/2016	<u>3</u>	APPLICATION to Proceed In District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Short Form) filed by Plaintiff Chance E Gordon. (et) (Entered: 08/15/2016)
08/12/2016	<u>4</u>	NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT to District Judge S. James Otero and Magistrate Judge Andrew J. Wistrich. (et) (Entered: 08/15/2016)
08/12/2016	<u>5</u>	NOTICE TO PARTIES OF COURT-DIRECTED ADR PROGRAM filed. (et) (Entered: 08/15/2016)
08/16/2016	<u>6</u>	ORDER RE TRANSFER PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 14-03 (Related Case) filed. Transfer of case declined by Judge Percy Anderson, for the reasons set forth on this order. Related Case No. 2:12-cv-06147 PA(MRWx) (rm) (Entered: 08/16/2016)
08/16/2016	<u>7</u>	ORDER re Request to Proceed In Forma Pauperis by Magistrate Judge Andrew J. Wistrich: GRANTED. <u>3</u> (klg) (Entered: 08/17/2016)
08/18/2016	<u>8</u>	INITIAL STANDING ORDER upon filing of the complaint by Judge S. James Otero. (vcr) (Entered: 08/18/2016)
08/31/2016	<u>9</u>	APPLICATION for Pro Se Litigant to electronically file documents in a specific case filed by plaintiff Chance E Gordon. (LATE DOCKETING DUE TO CLERKS OFFICE ERROR) (lc) (Entered: 09/20/2016)
09/22/2016	<u>10</u>	NOTICE TO FILER OF DEFICIENCIES in Electronically Filed Documents RE: Summons <u>1</u> . The following error(s) was found: Caption of document is incomplete/incorrect. In response to this notice the court may order (1) an amended or correct document to be filed (2) the document stricken or (3) take other action as the court deems appropriate. You need not take any action in response to this notice unless and until the court directs you to do so. (ec) (Entered: 09/22/2016)
09/23/2016	<u>11</u>	RESPONSE BY THE COURT TO NOTICE TO FILER OF DEFICIENCIES IN ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOCUMENTS RE: SUMMONS, [1-1] by Clerk of Court. Caption of the summons must match the caption of the complaint. Plaintiff is instructed to submit a summons identical to the caption of the complaint. (lc) (Entered: 09/23/2016)
09/23/2016	<u>12</u>	ORDER by Judge S. James Otero: granting <u>9</u> EX PARTE APPLICATION for Pro Se Electronic Filing. The applicant must register to use the Courts CM/ECF System within five (5) days of being served with this order. Registration information is available at the Pro Se Litigant E-Filing web page located on the Courts website. Upon registering, the applicant will receive a CM/ECF login and password that will allow him/her to file non-sealed documents electronically in this case only. Any documents being submitted under seal must be manually filed with the Clerk. (lc) (Entered: 09/26/2016)
10/04/2016	<u>13</u>	60 DAY Summons Issued re Complaint – (Discovery), <u>1</u> as to defendant a Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (lc) (Entered: 10/05/2016)
10/04/2016	<u>14</u>	21 DAY Summons Issued re Complaint – (Discovery), <u>1</u> as to defendant The State Bar Court of California. (lc) (Entered: 10/05/2016)
10/04/2016	<u>15</u>	21 DAY Summons Issued re Complaint – (Discovery), <u>1</u> as to defendant The State of Bar of California. (lc) (Entered: 10/05/2016)
10/12/2016	<u>16</u>	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION by Judge S. James Otero. Plaintiff is hereby ordered to show cause in writing by not later than November 12, 2016 why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. The court will consider the filing of the following as an appropriate response to this Order to Show Cause, on or before the above date: Proof of service of summons and complaint; In accordance with Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 7-15, no oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of the response to the Order to Show Cause. Failure to respond to the court's Order may result in the

		dismissal of the action. IT IS SO ORDERED. (jy) (Entered: 10/12/2016)
10/24/2016	<u>17</u>	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed Chance E Gordon, upon Defendant The State of Bar of California served on 10/21/2016, answer due 11/21/2016. Service of the Summons and Complaint were NOT executed upon the United States Attorneys Office which was NOT served. The Attorney Generals Office of the United States was NOT served. The officer agency or corporation was NOT served. Service was executed in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Due diligence declaration NOT attached. Registered or certified mail return receipt NOT attached. Original Summons NOT returned. (Gordon, Chance) (Entered: 10/24/2016)
10/24/2016	<u>18</u>	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed Chance E Gordon, upon Defendant The State Bar Court of California served on 10/21/2016, answer due 11/21/2016. Service of the Summons and Complaint were NOT executed upon the United States Attorneys Office which was NOT served. The Attorney Generals Office of the United States was NOT served. The officer agency or corporation was NOT served. Service was executed in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Due diligence declaration NOT attached. Registered or certified mail return receipt NOT attached. Original Summons NOT returned. (Gordon, Chance) (Entered: 10/24/2016)
11/01/2016	19	NOTICE TO PARTIES by District Judge S. James Otero. Effective November 7, 2016, Judge Otero will be located at the 1st Street Courthouse, COURTROOM 10C on the 10th floor, located at 350 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. All Court appearances shall be made in Courtroom 10C of the 1st Street Courthouse, and all mandatory chambers copies shall be hand delivered to the judge's mail box outside the Clerk's Office on the 4th floor of the 1st Street Courthouse. The location for filing civil documents in paper format exempted from electronic filing and for viewing case files and other records services remains at the United States Courthouse, 312 North Spring Street, Room G-8, Los Angeles, California 90012. The location for filing criminal documents in paper format exempted from electronic filing remains at Edward R. Roybal Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 255 East Temple Street, Room 178, Los Angeles, California 90012. THERE IS NO PDF DOCUMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ENTRY. (rrp) TEXT ONLY ENTRY (Entered: 11/01/2016)
11/07/2016	<u>20</u>	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed Chance E Gordon, upon Defendant Consumer Financial Protection Bureau served on 11/2/2016, answer due 12/2/2016. Service of the Summons and Complaint were NOT executed upon the United States Attorneys Office which was NOT served. The Attorney Generals Office of the United States was NOT served. The officer agency or corporation was NOT served. Service was executed in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Due diligence declaration NOT attached. Registered or certified mail return receipt NOT attached. Original Summons NOT returned. (Gordon, Chance) (Entered: 11/07/2016)
11/14/2016	<u>21</u>	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss <i>Case of the State Bar of California and State Bar Court to Dismiss Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof</i> filed by Defendants The State Bar Court of California, The State of Bar of California. Motion set for hearing on 12/19/2016 at 10:00 AM before Judge S. James Otero. (Attorney Newton N Knowles added to party The State Bar Court of California(pty:dft), Attorney Newton N Knowles added to party The State of Bar of California(pty:dft)) (Knowles, Newton) (Entered: 11/14/2016)
11/14/2016	<u>22</u>	DECLARATION of Newton Knowles Support of State Bar Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Complaint NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss <i>Case of the State Bar of California and State Bar Court to Dismiss Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof</i> <u>21</u> filed by Defendants The State Bar Court of California, The State of Bar of California. (Knowles, Newton) (Entered: 11/14/2016)
11/14/2016	<u>23</u>	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE re NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss <i>Case of the State Bar of California and State Bar Court to Dismiss Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof</i> <u>21</u> filed by Defendants The State Bar Court of California, The State of Bar of California. (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Exhibit, # <u>2</u> Exhibit)(Knowles, Newton) (Entered: 11/14/2016)
11/14/2016	<u>24</u>	DECLARATION OF SERVICE filed by Defendants The State Bar Court of California, The State of Bar of California, re Declaration (Motion related), <u>22</u> , Request for Judicial Notice, <u>23</u> , NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss

		Case of the State Bar of California and State Bar Court to Dismiss Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof <u>21</u> served on November 14, 2016. (Knowles, Newton) (Entered: 11/14/2016)
11/18/2016	<u>25</u>	OPPOSITION Opposition re: NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case of the State Bar of California and State Bar Court to Dismiss Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof <u>21</u> filed by Plaintiff Chance E Gordon. (Gordon, Chance) (Entered: 11/18/2016)
11/18/2016	<u>26</u>	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE re NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case of the State Bar of California and State Bar Court to Dismiss Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof <u>21</u> filed by Plaintiff Chance E Gordon. (Gordon, Chance) (Entered: 11/18/2016)
11/18/2016	<u>27</u>	DECLARATION of CHANCE E. GORDON IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case of the State Bar of California and State Bar Court to Dismiss Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof <u>21</u> filed by Plaintiff Chance E Gordon. (Gordon, Chance) (Entered: 11/18/2016)
12/01/2016	<u>28</u>	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed Chance E Gordon, upon US ATTORNEY Consumer Financial Protection Bureau served on 11/29/2016, answer due 12/29/2016. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon the United States Attorneys Office by delivering a copy to SERVED CERTIFIED MAIL. Executed upon the Attorney Generals Office of the United States by delivering a copy to CERTIFIED MAIL. Executed upon the officer agency or corporation by delivering a copy to NO NAME. Service was executed in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Due diligence declaration NOT attached. Registered or certified mail return receipt attached. Original Summons NOT returned. (Gordon, Chance) (Entered: 12/01/2016)
12/02/2016	<u>29</u>	REPLY Support NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION to Dismiss Case of the State Bar of California and State Bar Court to Dismiss Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof <u>21</u> Reply in Support of State Bar Defendants Motion to Dismiss Complaint filed by Defendants The State Bar Court of California, The State of Bar of California. (Knowles, Newton) (Entered: 12/02/2016)
12/05/2016	<u>30</u>	EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file surreply filed by Plaintiff Chance E Gordon. (Gordon, Chance) (Entered: 12/05/2016)
12/06/2016	<u>31</u>	NOTICE TO FILER OF DEFICIENCIES in Electronically Filed Documents RE: EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file surreply <u>30</u> . The following error(s) was found: Missing Proposed order which was not submitted as separate attachment. In response to this notice the court may order (1) an amended or correct document to be filed (2) the document stricken or (3) take other action as the court deems appropriate. You need not take any action in response to this notice unless and until the court directs you to do so. (lc) (Entered: 12/06/2016)
12/09/2016	<u>32</u>	RESPONSE BY THE COURT TO NOTICE TO FILER OF DEFICIENCIES IN ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOCUMENTS RE: EX PARTE APPLICATION for Leave to file surreply <u>30</u> by Clerk of Court. The document is accepted as filed. (lc) (Entered: 12/09/2016)
12/09/2016	<u>33</u>	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge S. James Otero: The Court Denies Plaintiffs ex parte application for leave to file surreply <u>30</u> . (lc) (Entered: 12/09/2016)
12/09/2016	<u>34</u>	The Court finds the following motion suitable for disposition without oral argument and vacates the hearing re the MOTION to Dismiss Case of the State Bar of California and State Bar Court to Dismiss Complaint; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof filed by Defendants The State Bar Court of California, The State of Bar of California. [ECF # 21], set for hearing on December 19, 2016. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b). No appearance is required. The briefing schedule remains as set by Local Rule. THERE IS NO PDF DOCUMENT ASSOCIATED WITH THIS ENTRY. (vcr) TEXT ONLY ENTRY (Entered: 12/09/2016)
12/19/2016	<u>35</u>	PROOF OF SERVICE Executed Chance E Gordon, upon US ATTORNEY FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT Consumer Financial Protection Bureau served on 12/9/2016, answer due 1/9/2017. Service of the Summons and Complaint were executed upon the

		United States Attorneys Office by delivering a copy to Pat Myles. Executed upon the Attorney Generals Office of the United States by delivering a copy to Pat Myles. Executed upon the officer agency or corporation by unspecified means. Service was executed in compliance with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Due diligence declaration NOT attached. Registered or certified mail return receipt NOT attached. Original Summons NOT returned. (Gordon, Chance) (Entered: 12/19/2016)
12/20/2016	<u>36</u>	MINUTES (IN CHAMBERS) by Judge S. James Otero: ORDER GRANTING STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA AND STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA'S MOTION TO DISMISS COMPLAINT <u>21</u> . The Court DISMISSES Plaintiff Chance E. Gordon's four causes of action to the extent they are asserted against these defendants without leave to amend. (lc) (Entered: 12/20/2016)
01/05/2017	<u>37</u>	STIPULATION Extending Time to Answer the complaint as to Consumer Financial Protection Bureau answer now due 2/8/2017, re Complaint – (Discovery), <u>1</u> filed by Defendant Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.(Attorney Tamra Tyree Moore added to party Consumer Financial Protection Bureau(pty:dft))(Moore, Tamra) (Entered: 01/05/2017)
02/06/2017	<u>38</u>	Joint STIPULATION to Stay Case pending final resolution of Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. Gordon, No. 13–56484 (9th Cir.) filed by Defendant Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.(Moore, Tamra) (Entered: 02/06/2017)
02/07/2017	<u>39</u>	NOTICE TO FILER OF DEFICIENCIES in Electronically Filed Documents RE: Stipulation to Stay Case <u>38</u> . The following error(s) was found: Missing Proposed order which was not submitted as separate attachment. In response to this notice the court may order (1) an amended or correct document to be filed (2) the document stricken or (3) take other action as the court deems appropriate. You need not take any action in response to this notice unless and until the court directs you to do so. (lc) (Entered: 02/07/2017)
02/08/2017	<u>40</u>	RESPONSE BY THE COURT TO NOTICE TO FILER OF DEFICIENCIES IN ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOCUMENTS RE: Stipulation to Stay Case <u>38</u> by Clerk of Court. Document accepted as filed. Counsel shall lodge a proposed order forthwith. Failure to comply and stipulation will be stricken. (lc) (Entered: 02/08/2017)
02/08/2017	<u>41</u>	NOTICE OF LODGING filed re Response By Court to Notice of Deficiencies (G–112B), <u>40</u> (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Proposed Order)(Moore, Tamra) (Entered: 02/08/2017)
03/15/2017	<u>42</u>	ORDER by Judge S. James Otero, re Stipulation to Stay Case <u>38</u> . The parties' joint stipulation to stay proceedings is DENIED AS REQUESTED. The Court Stays this matter until Friday, September 15, 2017 or 14 days after the Supreme Court issues a decision with respect to Plaintiff's writ of certiorari in the case of Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. Gordon, No. 13–56484 (9th Cir.); and No later than 14 days after the Supreme Court issues a decision with respect to Plaintiff's pending writ of certiorari in Consumer Financial Protection Bureau v. Gordon, No. 13–56484 (9th Cir.), the parties shall submit a joint report advising the Court of the status of this case and/or a stipulation proposing a schedule to govern further proceedings. IT IS SO ORDERED. (MD JS–6. Case Terminated) (jy) (Entered: 03/16/2017)